

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/472,988	12/28/1999	TERUAKI OKUDA	35.G2524	9448	
5514	5514 7590 01/12/2004			EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1774	25	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/472,988	OKUDA, TERUAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	September 2003	
,	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims A) ✓ Claim(a) 1.17 in/ora panding in the application		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application		n
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7-11</u> is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Milliamii Iroiii consideratic	л.
_		
6) Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 12-17 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pplication Papers	election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		` ,
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	oplication No
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has be	en received.
ttachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Ir	iummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/472,988

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. The restriction requirement was made final in Paper No. 18.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either one of Hirose et al. (6,203,899) taken alone or in view of either of Malhotra (6,180,238) or Cousin et al. (4,554,181) for reasons of record and for reasons given below. With respect to claim 12, see col. 7, lines 38-57 of the primary reference. With respect to claim 13, as stated by MPEP 2111.03, "consisting essentially of" language limits the scope of the claim to specified materials and materials that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the invention. However, applicants have the burden of showing that the additional components would materially change the basic and novel characteristics of their invention. So far, they have not met this burden.

Contrary to applicants' arguments, the outer layer of the primary reference may be two-thirds resin particles (see column 4). The examiner is relying upon this disclosure for purposes of the rejection rather than a different embodiment in which the surface layer may have little or no binder. The examiner does not believe that inherency requires every embodiment disclosed by a reference to meet the relevant limitation, but

Application/Control Number: 09/472,988

Art Unit: 1774

rather that the embodiment relied upon in formulating the rejection inherently meet the claim limitation.

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed September 22, 2003 and made at the interview of October 8, 200 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As explained in the final rejection, the ratio of particles to binder in the surface layer of the reference is 50:1 to 1:2. Therefore, the layer may contain twice as much binder as pigment. Similar layers taught in the prior art are transparent. For example, in 6,214,458 to Kobayashi et al., a transparent layer (see col. 2, lines 33-35) is disclosed as containing agglomerated silica (primary particle size of less that 10 nm) of particle size between 10 and 100 nm, and has a ratio of silica to resin of from 1.5:1 to 10:1 (see col. 15, lines 34-54). This range overlaps considerably with that of Hirose et al. and demonstrates that transparent surface layers are disclosed by Hirose et al.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela R. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-1528. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526.

Application/Control Number: 09/472,988

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0994.

PRSchwartz January 8, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER